

February 26, 1974

SENATOR FOWLER: The....to explain the committee amendments, the intent of the bill itself is to just carry out the federal revenue sharing act to enable communities to expend revenue sharing in any other areas that the federal government will allow them to do so. The committee amendments came from two sources, Bill Peters in the tax commissioners office suggested a change in language to insert unit of local government in place of city, village or county, because there were some other units that were receiving revenue sharing money. The second amendment is from the city of Lincoln, that would allow revenue sharing funds to be used in cooperation with inter-governmental cooperation act to carry out programs, so I would move these amendments.

PRESIDENT: Those in favor of adopting the committee amendments say aye, those opposed say no. Committee amendments are adopted, Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: The bills intent is to simply carry out the intent of the revenue sharing act

(end belt #4A)

(start belt #5A)

to let local governments expend funds as they see fit, revenue sharing funds. The bill simply gives them the authority to do so. Some communities felt that their authority from the state was not clear. This will is to clear that up. I would ask unanimous consent to advance to Select File, or to E & R.

PRESIDENT: Any objections? Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: Just a question of Senator Fowler. Senator Fowler can these funds be used for housing?

SENATOR BARNETT: Senator Fowler....Can these funds be used for housing?

SENATOR FOWLER: The Federal REvenue Sharing Act would allow, as I understand it, as has been explained to me, these funds could be used for social service programs involved in public housing.

SENATOR BARNETT: If they were used by or for housing, is the federal law or the city law in effect that would ask for a vote of the people of the city of Lincoln?

SENATOR FOWLER: For use of the Federal Funds, no. For use of local funds, yes.

SENATOR BARNETT: OK.

PRESIDENT: Are there any objections? To the advancement of LB824? I see none, LB824 is advanced. Senator Goodrich wants 834 and 977 passed over as controversial. We then go to 334.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Mr. President, starting with 334 pass over all of the bills until you come to 866. Start 866. I think the rest are controversial.

PRESIDENT: Next bill is 866.